IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.213 OF 2005

Vinit Kusmakar Shukla ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr. S.K. Jha, for the Applicant.

Mr. K.V. Saste, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

20th January 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Having regard to the seriousness of the offence and the fact that the Applicant has been identified and the witnesses have deposed about the role of the Applicant in the commission of the crime, not a case for bail. I see no reason to depart from the conclusion reached by the Sessions Court in rejecting the bail application preferred by the present Applicant - ackcused No. 4. Hence,

rejected.

2. The Principal Judge, Sessions Court, Greater Mumbai, is directed to assign the case to the Fast Track Court if not already assigned, so as to ensure early disposal of the trial. It is made clear that if the trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is responsible for delay in any manner, that fact may be recorded in the roznama so that it can be taken into account as and when occasion arises.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)